BESTPRACTICE RESEARCH PROJECTS



SCHOOL OF BUSINESS
ACADEMIC SECTION OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT | 2023

INTERNAL CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PERCEPTIONS OF WORKING STUDENTS: WHAT PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS INFLUENCE THEIR JUDGMENTS?

Research project lead:

Prof. Dr. Susanne Rank School of Business, University of Applied Sciences Mainz

Timeframe:

March to August 2022

Introduction

In order to achieve the UN SDG's (2015) through increased corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities with organizations, employee support is crucial: employees with CSR awareness of sustainability and their subsequent extra-role work behavior are an asset to an organization. The purpose of our exploratory study was to develop an internal CSR (ICSR) framework to assess employees' CSR perceptions, based on Bustamante et al. (2020), Mory et al. (2015, 2016, 2017) and El Akremi et al. (2015). This integrative ICSR framework is focused on employee preferences in relation to the workplace, human resources management, organizational culture, and the organization's social and environmental responsibility management. The employee preferences serve as a maturity level for monitoring internal CSR and subsequent sustainable HRM practices in organizations.

In our study, we used a sample of 180 students, all working part-time from Germany and Ireland who have to deal with sustainability issues in their professional lives. Using an online survey from April to June 2022, we examined the extent to which personal characteristics of these young professionals, such as ten personal values (see table 1, Schwartz, 2014, Schwartz et al., 2012), and profession (operationalized by business vs. social sciences), impact their internal CSR perceptions (3 factors of ICSR, see table 2), since previous studies showed heterogenous effects of demographic characteristics such as occupation, gender and personal values on their CSR judgements (Rank & Palframan, 2021). Gender, work tenure and age served as control variables as typical gender effects might occur. The following hypotheses were formulated:

Research cooperation partner:

Dr. Jason Palframan Technological University of the Shannon Midlands Midwest, University Road, Athlone, Westmeath, Ireland

Contact:

susanne.rank@hs-mainz.de



Table 1: 10 personal values of Schwartz et al. (2012)

- H 1a: Demographics Caring vs. business orientation in profession (operationalized by study subject) significantly impacts the preferences of the internal CSR construct: Business administration is significantly related with employee and organizational dimensions of ICSR, whereas social sciences are significantly related to the dimension of social & environmental responsibility management.
- H 1b: Demographics Gender impacts all 3-factor ICSR dimensions as females significantly prefer those ICSR preferences.

 H 2: 10 Schwartz's personal val**ues (see table 1)** impact internal CSR preferences differently: Universalism and benevolence values significantly impact the social and environmental responsibility management & organizational ICSR, whereas the power orientation and achievement values are significantly related to employee dimension.

WHAT PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS INFLUENCE THEIR JUDGMENTS?

Results

The results of our exploratory study show that this ICSR framework is robust tested with the CFA, see table 2: a three-factor ICSR model was confirmed with the employee vs. or-ganisational vs. responsibility management dimensions.

Health and safety Skill development Job security Diversity Management Work life balance Tangible involvement Empowerment	Employee ICSR
Transparency Ethical values Justice & Fairness	Organizational ICSR
Social responsibility Ecological responsibility	Responsibility Management

Table 2: 3-factor ICSR model

Further analyses show the impact of specific personal characteristics on their ICSR perceptions: The results of a stepwise regression analyses confirm that business vs. caring profession significantly impacts 3 factors of internal CSR as well as two other unexpected impacts of location and achievement value occured. Analyses with SEM bootstrapping (1000 iterations in AMOS 27) partly supported the regression results and showed the impact of Schwartz's personal values on two of the ICSR factors. The main results show that the impact of personal characteristics on internal CSR perceptions differs as follows:

H1 is confirmed: (a) Working in a business vs. caring profession (+) influences responsibility management beyond (b) a typical gender effect on the employee and organizational factor (+) females show a higher preference, whereas age (+) and work experience (-) impact all ICSR factors in contrary.

H2 is partly confirmed: A significant, but opposite impact of universalism (-) & benevolence (+) on organizational & responsibility management factors of ICSR occurred, whereas stimulation (+) impacts the employee factor, tradition (-) the org. factor & achievement (+) the responsibility management factor.

Limitations

Due to the sample size in this crosssectional design, a further field study by collecting a company sample is required to validate our internal CSR framework. The EM-POWER program is the opportunity for this validation study of ICSR frame in a pilot company.

Conclusion

The integrative ICSR framework could be applied in organizations to measure the employee perceptions as an internal CSR maturity analysis tool. Specific sustainable HRM practices could be defined to increase this level in order to achieve certain SDGs. Due to the results it is necessary to implement the right sustainable HRM measures due to the company's employee structure (e.g. demographics) and to attract motivated employees with high benevolence, achievement and stimulation values as multipliers and volunteers for ICSR projects. Further theoretical and practical implications will be discussed in our final paper.

References

Bustamente, S., Ehlschleidt, R., Pelzeter, A., Deckmann, A. & Freundenberger, F. (2020). The effect of values on the attractiveness of responsible employers for young job seekers. Journal of Human Values, 27(19), 27-48.

El Akremi, A., Gond, J-P., Swaen, V., De Roeck, K. & Igalens, J. (2015). How Do Employees Per-ceive Corporate Responsibility? Development and Validation of a Multidimensional Corporate Stakeholder Responsibility Scale. Journal of Management, 44(2), 619-657.

Mory, L., Wirtz, B.W. & Göttel, V. (2015). Factors of internal corporate social responsibility and the effect on organizational commitment. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 27(139), 1393-1425.

Mory, L., Wirtz, B.W. & Göttel, V. (2016). Corporate social responsibility strategies and their impact on employees' commitment. Journal of Strategy and Management, 9(2), 172-201.

Mory, L., Wirtz, B.W. & Göttel, V. (2017). Corporate social responsibility: the organization-al view. Journal of Management & Governance, 21(1), 145-179.

Rank, S. & Palframan, J. (2021). Internal Corporate Social Responsibility preferences of young job seekers with regard to sustainable HRM: Are these CSR preferences related to their personal value orientation? Journal of Intercultural Management, 13(4), 34-62.

Rank, S. (2022). Sustainable HRM for an internal Workplace CSR strategy Integrate green and social CSR pillars for attracting, developing, and retaining talents as CSR ambassadors. In: Contreras, F., Dornberger, U. Berrones-Flemming, C. (eds.). Business and Sustainability: Incorporating the Sustainable Development Goals in the business strategy in times of Covid-19. Emerald Publisher.

Rank S, Contreras, F. & Abid, G. (2022) Editorial: Social sustainability at work: A key to sustainable development in business. Frontiers in Psychology. 13:1108935. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1108935

Schwartz, S. H. (2014). Rethinking the concept and measurement of societal culture in light of empirical findings. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology. 45, 5-13.

Schwartz, S. H., Cieciuch, J., Vecchione, M., Davidov, E., Fischer, R., Beierlein, C., Ramos, A., Verkasalo, M., Lönnqvist, J.-E., Demirutku, K., Dirilen-Gumus, O., & Konty, M. (2012). Refining the Theory of Basic Individual Values. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 103(4), 663-88.